

## **CHAPTER TEN**

### **Another Tower of Babel Being Constructed Today**

#### **The Extreme Environmentalism Tower**

Let's be perfectly clear...

I am FOR individual stewardship for taking care of the planet God made for us! I am FOR any passive measures we can employ. I am FOR the reuse of material over recycle, the recycle of materials over disposal, the use of limited regional materials to reduce transportation impacts, and energy & water conservation. In my architectural practice, I have followed my engrained principles since my start in 1974. I have created passive solar homes, won passive solar cooling awards, and created commercial daylighting buildings (the first constructed in 1980).

CBS News Sunday Morning show on 1-21-18, Sir David Attenborough (BBC's Blue Planet 2 series) said "we have a duty to protect our planet". I wholeheartedly agree, but it is more than a duty, it is God's commandment to each of us!

This book is about the sinful ***"Trying to be God"*** belief in that we actually have the power, and more importantly, the ability to save the planet and change worldwide climate by our own abilities and actions. This sinful idea and behavior has grown into a worldwide virus infecting an apparent majority and bringing down detrimental over-reaching governmental control over its own citizens, worldwide. Even Pope Francis has fallen prey to the hoax, to support the manmade ability to change the climate, by his 2015 manifesto. Not only does this rhetoric's stifling control remove God created freedoms, but human interferences can make natural matters much worse. I believe this is a return

and resurrection of the heretical beliefs of Deism and Pantheism.

I have collected hundreds of published articles written to show that man-made climate change is a hoax. My use of the word “hoax” was not born from my keyboard; the Weather Channel founder, John Coleman, called global warming the “greatest scam in history”. As published by the late Noel Sheppard, Associate Editor of NewsBusters.org on November 7, 2007, John Coleman writes:

*“It is the greatest scam in history. I am amazed, appalled and highly offended by it. Global Warming; it is a scam. Some dastardly scientists with environmental and political motives manipulated long term scientific data to create in [sic] allusion of rapid global warming. Other scientists of the same environmental whacko type jumped into the circle to support and broaden the ‘research’ to further enhance the totally slanted, bogus global warming claims. Their friends in government steered huge research grants their way to keep the movement going. Soon they claimed to be a consensus.*

*Environmental extremists, notable politicians among them, then teamed up with movie, media and other liberal, environmentalist journalists to create this wild ‘scientific’ scenario of the civilization threatening environmental consequences from Global Warming unless we adhere to their radical agenda. Now their ridiculous manipulated science has been accepted as fact and become a cornerstone issue for CNN, CBS, NBC, the Democratic Political Party, the Governor of California, school teachers and, in many cases, well informed but very gullible environmental conscientious citizens.”*

I contend, this is all about arrogant people, full of self-righteous pride, wishing to control others...this time without a gun. This cancerous mindset has so affected people’s thinking that it could literally involve prison time for the

deniers of this hoax, as based on Dr. Suzuki's beliefs noted below! Citizens of the world have not awakened to the fact that they are being controlled by the power-hungry mob, control coming out of the very tax monies dished out from the same gullible citizens! As an analogy, this is no different than I will pay you to build the prison that you are planning to put me in, for something I have not done, nor do I have any control over what is going on.

Cal Thomas shares in his article published in Townhall on June 28, 2018, entitled Thirty Years of Global Warming Panic, text from the Wall Street Journal on June 23, 2018:

*"The mostly non-scientist proponents of climate hysteria realize that distant forecasts of remote problems by inadequate models are unlikely to motivate people to shut down modern industrial society. They, therefore, attempt to claim that we are seeing the problems right now. Of course, the warming that has occurred over the past 200 years or so, has been too small to have been a major factor. However, objective reality matters little when it comes to propaganda – where repetition can effectively counter reality."*

Cal Thomas adds:

*"Repetition is precisely what we are experiencing in the major media, which have selectively interviewed people who promote the climate change myth. These include some politicians, who see it as another way to regulate and dominate our lives."*

Cal Thomas continues:

*"Numerous apocalyptic prediction of an imminent end of the world because of 'climate change' have proved wrong. Their objective of big government robbing us of more of our freedoms and spending trillions of dollars on a made-up problem has been exposed."*

This is a main point of my position in this chapter, that to have a focus on solving the so-called climate change (non-existent) problem is sinful for three reasons:

- 1) mankind is not God and thus cannot change the world's climate,
- 2) governments and radical groups want to control people by taking away God-given unalienable rights & freedoms, and
- 3) the massive re-direction of uncalculatable dollars to governments, science and industry instead of aiding the world's poor and innocent that are dying every single day.

Jay Lehr in his article published on Townhall in November 7, 2018, entitled "Global Warming Is Not Damaging Our World – The Global Warming Movement Is", is proving my point. He writes:

*"For many of the entities driving the global warming debate, the goal has never been about climate. Their long-term goal is to unite the world under a single socialistic government in which there is no capitalism, no democracy, and ultimately, no freedom. United Nations' treaties such as the Paris Agreement on climate change are the flagships of the global governance agenda. By controlling carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions, they are in fact controlling the world's energy since over 80% of our energy comes from CO<sub>2</sub> – emitting fossil fuels. And controlling the world's energy effectively controls the world.*

*Under the Obama administration, nearly 75,000 new federal regulations restricting energy providers were created, costing America hundreds of billions of dollars per year. The good news [as of this writing] is that President Trump has reversed this dangerous trend, weakening or outright cancelling many of the Obama era rules."*

One of the main arguments supporting the idea of man-made climate change is that warming is occurring [there has been no proof of that] and that that warming is caused by carbon emissions hurting the atmosphere thus allowing more sun light to enter to the earth's surface, heating the earth and melting polar ice caps. Setting aside that ice caps have been expanding and reducing over all of history, the facts are that when one pole reduces ice, the other pole increases ice.

Let's look at the Carbon emission argument; studies show that carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations in the atmosphere were 260 to 280 parts per million in the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> centuries, while today concentrations are around 400 parts per million. These facts published without context could be argued that we have a problem; and that is exactly what the doomsayers say. But let's keep going. What problem is the warming supposed to create? ...to melt the polar ice caps, raising sea levels, flooding coastal cities and covering up islands. Sea levels have always moved up and down; this movement is not a sign of a problem. Climate has always had a flow about it, generally adjusting to solar activity and the sun and earth orbits.

According to the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) sea level rise from 1900 to 2014 has averaged 1.7 millimeters per year; other studies show less increase since 1958. How can this be, for as they claim we have such major increases in carbon emissions? For all history, sea levels go up and down; maybe we should look at the United Nations closer! These political morons have been on the front lines of this hoax for many decades. Maybe the whole thing is a manufactured hoax to get America to pay more money in order to achieve more wealth leveling worldwide? Maybe those trying to play God have no power at all, so they are drawn to bullying and fear mongering in order to control people? Gullible non-thinking people buy into the insane argument, driven by feelings not facts, and as lemmings simply race full-bore off the cliff.

Gil Gutknecht reminds us of the Newsweek article of April 28, 1975, in an article published in Townhall on November 28, 2018, entitled "Climate Collusion":

*"Global cooling had begun. The report was complete with charts and graphs assembled by*

*the top climatologists to prove the hypothesis. ...So the consensus among the experts that we were well into the cooling trend made perfect sense. And if it continued, as they predicted it would, humanity was headed for a rendezvous with catastrophe. The future, filled with famines and bone chilling cold, looked grim."*

As we know, this never happened. The past is full of such false predictions; so, we are supposed to believe these guys today? Gutknecht continues:

*"A few years later, some of those same scientists discovered flaws in their data. Global temperatures had stabilized. Worse, they may now be turning upward. The planet may actually be warming! Political figures from around the world began to unite behind the banner of Global Warming."*

OOPS...they now have to adapt their position to a whole different framework. Oh no! We need to change our position now; we can't let people think everything is okay. We need to manufacture another crisis!

It is all about staying on top, keeping your job, getting the grant money, and having control. Truth? Who cares about the real truth? Gutknecht continues as these scientists have now realized there is no warming:

*"Then the data started to show a pause in the so called warming. Scientists, fearing losses of both credibility and grant money, adjusted their data accordingly. Then they jettisoned the term Global Warming, substituting the amorphous Climate Change."*

Climate Change? As our tax money kept flowing to these groups, control and power still their driver, the populations stopped thinking and went back to sleep. Today, we are in a position where the masses actually believe that mankind can actually change the world's climate. Who needs God now...we are just as powerful. We are trying to be God!

How sinful is that; well done Satan, you have successfully twisted people's thinking and caused others to not think at all, that most of the world have become lemmings! We must give credit where credit is due, well done Satan!

Published at DailyTech.com by Michael Asher on February 26, 2008, the Hadley Center for Climate Prediction, studying world temperatures, noted a steep drop in 2007; the yearlong drop in world temperatures wiped out a century of warming. The idea of a cooling planet goes against the control freaks wishing to scare everyone that the atmosphere is thinning and solar radiation is cooking the planet, and it is all caused by man's actions. Mankind is destroying the planet by using lawn mowers, gassing up cars, and cow farts. Control groups like to point to the ice at the poles; they always point to the one that is losing ice and never mention the opposite pole that is gaining ice. The Hadley study showed record levels of Antarctic sea ice. All four major global temperature tracking outlets (Hadley, NASA's GISS, UAH, RSS) have released the 2007 updated data in early 2008. All show that over the past year of 2007, global temperatures have dropped.

In 2009, a climate gathering in Copenhagen had the late Ethiopian Prime Minister Meles Zenawi demanding a world-wide redistribution of wealth from the rich countries to the poor countries. Never mind that the rich countries have capitalistic-driven freedoms and the poor countries have dictatorial control over the people. Many times we have witnessed our generosity reclaimed by these governments, thus never reaching its intended purpose. Of course, helping the poor is not the agenda for these people in the first place. Zenawi wanted to start with \$30 billion, raising to \$100 billion by 2020. Then U.S. Secretary of Agriculture, Tom Vilsack, pledged \$1 billion of our US tax dollars to be wasted in another country with no follow up on how it was to be used.

Published by Paul Driessen on December 29, 2018:

*"Federal funding for climate change research, technology, international assistance and adaptation has increased from \$2.4 billion in 1993 to \$11.6 billion in 2014, with an additional \$26.1 billion for climate change programs and activities provided by the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act."*

\$37.7 BILLION dollars, tax-payer dollars! Remember, government has no money; they get it from you and me. That is \$103.28 million dollars per day; all wasted money for a hoax! This is sinful. This whole man-made climate change fiasco is about the redistribution of world wealth, control over citizens by governments and overall population control; making free countries to be non-free countries so we can all be in this non-existent world disaster together...all nice and equal in the dark satanic abyss of our own making.

But Haven you say, the scientist all agree...No! They don't all agree. I am pro-science; I love science, but that doesn't make the discipline perfect. Bruce Bialosky wrote on October 15, 2018, within his article *"No, You Cannot Debate Global Warming"*, published in Townhall:

*"My biggest problem with the climate change crowd is their argument that this is 'settled science'. Science is never settled and arguing that is about the most unscientific thing ever stated."*

Science, over all of history, has been evolving and learning, one step at a time, each step feeding upon the previous step and leading to a new step. All learning is by nature, growing from untrue positions to whatever is the current level of truth, but please understand that the current "truth" is not the ultimate truth. Doctors used to control infection by spraying water over the area of a person's surgery; is that the way to control infections today?

Following up on this science, progressives & liberals are hell-bent in their erroneous drive to champion facts of scientific consensus, proof and accuracy [going out 100 years, and they don't know the weather factually for tomorrow?]; Paul Driessen wrote a wonderfully solid article entitled "Fake Climate Science and Scientists", published April 27, 2019, in Townhall, saying:

*"Real science is not belief – or consensus, 97% or otherwise. Real science constantly asks questions, expresses skepticism, reexamines hypotheses and evidence."*

He continues,

*“Real science – and real scientists – seek to understand natural phenomena and processes. They pose hypotheses that they think best explain what they have witnessed, then test them against actual evidence observations and experimental data. If the hypotheses (and the predictions based on them) are borne out by their subsequent findings, the hypotheses become theories, rules, laws of nature – at least until someone finds new evidence that pokes holes in their assessments or devises better explanations.*

*Real science does not involve simply declaring that you “believe” something, it’s not immutable doctrine. It doesn’t claim “science is real” – or demand that a particular scientific explanation be carved in stone.”*

Paul Driessen also wrote an article entitled “*Rooting Out Scientific Corruption*”, published on October 4, 2018 in Townhall, that dealt with trying to gain copies of tax-payer funded research relating to the Al Gore made famous “hockey stick” graph showing gross increases in global warming from the Medieval Period to now, from the University of Arizona. Why did they not want to share the research? Puzzling! Don’t academics always want to be known as the leader and expert in a certain field of endeavor? Could it be that the erroneous research did not prove what they wanted it to prove, thus ending their journey into history books and claiming great wealth? The focus was on Dr. Michael Mann’s research, publicly funded by tax-payers, and used to support the war of fossil fuels, affecting all Americans.

To my third point noted above, Driessen writes:

*“Instead, we now have a nearly \$2-trillion-per-year renewable energy / climate crisis industry that zealously and jealously protects its turf and attacks anyone who dares to ask awkward questions like these.”*

The idea that mankind can affect world-wide climate is silly at best, and more importantly to my point, it is not a bit less than wanting to have the same power as God Himself who

created the climate in the first place and has always controlled it from the beginning of time. Only Jesus Christ can control the climate, storms and seas as He did in Matthew 8:26 and 14:31-33. Of course that fact (which they don't believe) doesn't stop the United Nations from calling for massive taxes on carbon dioxide emissions so we can avoid the coming climatic meltdown. Taxing carbon dioxide? ...the stuff all vegetation requires for growth? A carbon tax is strictly wealth reallocation and will do nothing to help the environment. Further it will hurt the poor the hardest as costs for all goods will raise. The Carbon Tax Center claims the tax is fair. In Australia, it has been published, that one in four families cannot heat their homes, many lack refrigeration and must use candles instead of electric lighting, and blackouts are common. These taxes are devastating!

Paul Driessen tells us on December 8, 2018, of a special report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, claim 15 governments worldwide must make “*unprecedented changes in all aspects of society*” to spend \$40 trillion dollars by 2035 on renewable energy and impose carbon taxes. This is stunning that anyone could embrace such lunacy. To give this crazy idea some scale, we could feed the whole world for \$5 trillion dollars, end malaria and provide clean water and electricity to every village in Africa with money left over. This the path God would want us to take; the United Nation's IPCC path is following Satan's delicious red apple!

Anyone with eyes to view God's creation properly will see that God's creation is/was perfect, if left alone, it heals itself. The atmosphere will clean itself. The oceans will clean themselves. Forests will burn and regrow, mountains fall and rise up, and waters will dry and refill. Animal species come and go with a God-created balance of predators, meat eaters & plant eaters, and recycling larvae.

Problems come into play when mankind sticks his nose into God's workings. Many times, in the interest of helping, we actually hurt things because we don't fully understand the big picture. Emily Zanotti of the New York Times reported in February 5, 2018 that:

*“...the NYT found that women are going on birth control and men are practicing celibacy*

*just so that they don't have to live with the guilt  
that they brought about environmental ruin."*

Continuing this nonsensical waste of paper, the article shares "having a child is one of the costliest actions people can take, environmentally speaking." We have New York Democratic Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez asking if it is okay to have children given the concerns about climate change. She is quoted as saying, *"There's scientific consensus that the lives of children are going to be very difficult."* Marina Medvin published an article on February 25, 2019, entitled "Sacrifice of Children to Weather Gods...and Democrats?", that *"Many democrats believe birth control and abortion should be widely encouraged as a means to combat bad weather."* That is exactly what our young people don't need to hear as the fear mongers heap on more stress. We already have an epidemic of suicide of our young under 13. These progressives in 2019 are saying we only have 12 years to survive. Beto O'Rourke, as of 2019 a candidate running for the US presidency says: "The scientists are unanimous on this. We have no more than 12 years to take incredibly bold action on this crisis." Is this best we have to run for president? Of all the idiotic nonsensical things so-called adults, the leadership in our country, can possibility say! Ocasio-Cortez goes on to ask her "legitimate question", *"...is it okay to still have children?"* ...and we wonder my kids are committing suicide so often...what's to live for anyway?

This goes fully against God's plan and command given back in Genesis. We need to trust God! How sad to actually believe in this insane position; to miss the joys of a child. Hillary Clinton added her dose of gasoline on this sad fire by saying, according to Justin Caruso of the Daily Caller on February 5, 2018, that *"climate change was sexist; that women will bear the brunt of the effects of climate change looking for food when the crops are burning up due to the coming intense heat."* This is the type of damage being done to caring people being duped by the growing number of purveyors of this sinful hoax.

Marina Medvin continues in her article, writes about the ancient Mesoamericans *"savagely sacrificing their young people in the belief that the killings would appease the weather gods."* She correctly notes that the barbaric

sacrificing included the Aztec, Mayan and Inca civilizations. Oh, how our progressives have progressed!

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez suggests that *“Our planet is going to disaster if we don’t turn this ship around...”* She puts out her so-called “New Green Deal” [which is not new at all] to save the planet in 2019. True federal lemmings followed where some 20 to 25 federal representatives having signed their names to the dust-covered program. You see, it was another set of insane people that put that nonsenses together, back in 2008 and 2009. Ocasio-Cortez does hit the nail on the head, my very point, that humans are actually thinking they can indeed change world-wide climate! This is sinful thinking; here we have humans thinking they are God, because only God can change world climate!

Unfortunately for the built environment, my architect brethren have been sucked into all this nonsense. “The Architect’s Newspaper”, vol. 17, issue 3, April 2019, as published by Architect’s Newspaper, LLC out of New York City, had a front-page story, “Green New Design? Architects have many ideas for decarbonizing the economy.” Championing the progressive Green New Deal, they write:

*“Because the threat of anthropogenic climate change is so fundamental, only a government-led, all-out industrial and economic mass mobilization effort can potentially transform American society quickly and thoroughly enough to avoid global catastrophe.”*

As you can see, these maniacs are using fear to hook gullible people (including architects and others in the building industry) to quickly transform America by government take-over to force control over the population. These insane activists are calling for *“the wholesale decarbonization of the U.S. economy...eliminating all carbon emissions across every industry in the country”*.

They are nuts; how did we sink so far, so fast? Karl Marx had the answer: You start the transformation in kindergarten school and work up to the colleges and universities. Progressives have been very successful and patient in achieving their goals.

For months in 2018, a volcano in has been blowing ash miles in the air; there has not been a peep about the damage to our atmosphere and our oceans. Why not? This one volcano is much more disastrous to our world than most cities tend to pollute. Why not complain about volcanos instead of bovine farts? Maybe we should outlaw volcano eruptions; I suggest we impose a fine on the countries that have erupting volcanos! Makes as much sense as all these other controls! More on volcanos is following within this chapter.

Think that is an excessive suggestion? Actually, it could be closer to the truth these days. Several U.S. states are trying to pass unconstitutional legislation to make it a crime to speak out against man-caused global warming. California's "*California Climate Science Truth and Accountability Act of 2016*" almost got through their senate before being pulled; it is just a matter of time before these insane laws become real. In 2008, Dr. David Suzuki, a Canadian academic, science broadcaster and environmental activist, at a Montreal conference of 600, called for leaders ignoring the science behind climate change to be thrown in prison. As many scientists as has been for the so-called computer modeled evidence of climate change, there are as many that deny and can produce facts, instead of manipulated computer models twisted to enforce a political agenda.

Help me understand. So, it is wrong for me to mow my lawn or gas my car at certain atmospheric conditions, but it is not wrong for a volcano to blow its top? Silly me...I must remember, it is okay for nature to destroy itself, but I can't mow my lawn and water my grass. If it is natural fine, no problem...but if it is mankind's actions polluting, we must forcefully control that. For over fifty years, my actions prove my position; I am for truth in earthly stewardship. Of course we should not wantonly pollute, my point is more about focus. Are we focused on proper earthly stewardship or are we focused on the control of people?

Before we get into the real focus of the extremists and what they want...that is, the control over people, let's get another example of the need for actual truth in lieu of uneducated emotion and feelings stemming from political agendas.

Mr. Phelim McAleer is a playwright in Hollywood. Born 1967, he is a former journalist from Ireland, now documentary

filmmaker and New York Times best-selling author. Mr. McAleer wrote an article published in Townhall on June 8, 2018, entitled “*What I learned About ‘Progressives’ When I Came to America*”. In the article he tells of his play, premiered in San Francisco, called “*The \$18 Billion Prize*”, which exposes environmental lawyer Steven Donziger as a fraud in his attempt to sue Chevron Oil Company for their alleged polluting of Ecuador’s rainforest. Donziger was the Ecuadorean plaintiff’s attorney. Donziger was found guilty by the Bar of New York of bribery of the judge and of the Ecuadorean Court, and of fabricating his own award of \$18 billion dollars. Donziger is now facing a demand for payment to Chevron of \$800,000. The case is not my main point in bringing this to your attention, but you need a bit of background. Back to McAleer’s situation. McAleer’s play used the actual court transcripts and was truthful to the actual court case, yet as he worked on auditions, he found it very difficult to get actors and technical support people in the Hollywood area to participate. As they walked out on him, they apparently didn’t like being a part of a truthful presentation that went against their emotional bent that all capitalism is destroying the planet. Finally, McAleer was able to present his play; it was a success. A reviewer was there at the opening, but his editor told him he could not publish his favorable review because “*it would be ‘to dangerous’ to run a review that supported criticism of the environmental movement.*” McAleer goes on to note in his article, “*the brave editor was scared of a play that tells the truth about a fraud perpetrated by environmentalist.*”

This story illustrates my point. I have already stated above that I am very much pro-stewardship; as a Christian, we are charged by God Himself to be good stewards of His creation. That I will be and have always tried to be at home and in my work as an architect. My deep concern is that the extreme environmentalists are not so concerned about saving the planet [as if they actually could], as they are about controlling people. Aside of the pride they exhibit in thinking they actually have the God-ability/power to save a whole planet, they are about self-importance, power and control over others. They want to be dictators, ruling over the planet...what is that called?

David Limbaugh wrote an article entitled, *“Environmentalism, Pantheism, Statism and Pessimism”*, on December 7, 2018, Townhall. A portion follows:

*“Have you ever noticed that all proposed solutions for climate change require massive expansions of government control, reduced reliance on free market entrepreneurship, and the surrendering of our national sovereignty to global entities that are hostile to the United States and its founding principles? Is it simply a coincidence that the undying adherents of this pantheistic religion are political leftists who want to control every aspect of our lives?”*

*I suppose many of you will scoff, but I believe this is largely a spiritual issue. There is a marked difference between being good stewards of the earth and worshipping it in place of God, who created it. Generally speaking, our respective worldviews greatly influence our attitude toward the climate change issue, from beginning to end. Secular humanists -- those who don't believe in God and tend to think that man is the measure of all things -- are likelier to believe that human beings are powerful enough to destroy the planet through carbon emissions. And they are far likelier to believe that this life is all there is -- that there is nothing beyond.”*

This most certainly is a spiritual issue. Climate change has become a religion to the masses of non-thinking progressives worldwide. Maybe a better word than “religion” would be “cult”. Those drinking this Kool-Aid are all about power and control over people; it is satanic. There is absolutely no discussion of Biblical Stewardship because stewardship is not the driving force. Now the power broker control freaks have enough blind support from the masses to publish their controls into the local, state and federal regulations on how we are to construct our built-environment. These regulations control how we are to live and cost many millions of dollars wasted on non-existent issues, while real world problems go unnoticed.

The climate fight for a non-existent problem, a problem thought to occur a hundred years from now, is redirecting monetary resources away from current and acute human problems today! Therefore, it is sinful. We have starving people in Africa and Venezuela. We have Tribal wars where children are forced to kill their parents. We have tsunamis, earthquakes, and fires ravaging whole cities. We have sickness claiming thousands of lives today and every day! World resources that can heal the innocent and feed the hungry are gobbled up by governments and universities. The poor and innocent are ignored, pawns in a worldwide chess game where the liberal elite control the game...a game of the future that will never happen.

*“When the Son of Man comes in his glory, and all the angels with him, he will sit on his glorious throne. All the nations will be gathered before him, and he will separate the people one from another as a shepherd separates the sheep from the goats. He will put the sheep on his right and the goats on his left. “Then the King will say to those on his right, ‘Come, you who are blessed by my Father; take your inheritance, the kingdom prepared for you since the creation of the world. For I was hungry and you gave me something to eat, I was thirsty and you gave me something to drink, I was a stranger and you invited me in, I needed clothes and you clothed me, I was sick and you looked after me, I was in prison and you came to visit me.’ “Then the righteous will answer him, ‘Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you, or thirsty and give you something to drink? When did we see you a stranger and invite you in, or needing clothes and clothe you? When did we see you sick or in prison and go to visit you?’ “The King will reply, ‘Truly I tell you, whatever you did for one of the least of these brothers and sisters of mine, you did for me.’ “Then he will say to those on his left, ‘Depart from me, you who are cursed, into the eternal fire prepared for the devil and his angels. For I was hungry and you gave me nothing to eat, I was thirsty and you gave me nothing to drink, I was a stranger and*

*you did not invite me in, I needed clothes and you did not clothe me, I was sick and in prison and you did not look after me.'* (Matthew 25:31-43 NIV)

The U.S. Green Building Council and its Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) has shaped the so-called "high-performance green building" throughout the United States. It has done this, using "guidelines" [easier for people to swallow at the beginning] which then have become mandatory laws in some states, and now are becoming mandatory within the United States published building codes, nationwide.

In 2012, there became a published building code called the International Green Construction Code; supported by the U.S. Green Building Council, ASHRAE, ASTM International, the Illuminating Engineering Society, and the American Institute of Architects. I don't have a problem saving energy and saving natural resources, I have been a proponent of this decision-making since 1970. I have designed many passive-solar buildings; I won a passive-solar cooling design award in 1980. I designed a day-lighting commercial building in 1980, way before the concept for commercial day-lighting was popular.

Today, my concern is that I am seeing an actual push behind this noble-sounding effort, to save the planet by governmental regulations. This is arrogance! Are the regulations to impact climate change? This they say it is. Are the regulations due to a belief in global-warming? Yes, this is their stated belief. Is it about controlling the population? They won't agree, but this must be the main reason. One thing for sure...it is not because they want us to be Biblical "good-stewards" of God's creation. The liberal elite and progressives are trying to remove God from having any impact. After all, it is THEY that want to be God.

The governmental over-reach, while sounding noble, is now being used by cities and states to control the entire built environment. The city of Phoenix, Arizona has a 17-point plan to make the city the first carbon-neutral city (a manipulation of data for the equaling of carbon used with the carbon saved). This takes a great deal of government control, state policies and executive orders. California is

moving or has already moved to require all state building projects (new and renovations) to be at least silver LEED rated projects.

This so-called “green industry” is nothing more than advertising hype and a way for government to expand and control people more. There have been some benefits for energy savings, but I seriously doubt that there have been savings in the earth’s resources. Many so-called gold and platinum LEED projects actually use an excessive amount of materials in the constructing of double exterior envelopes for the purpose of either light or ventilation controls. I fear it is about arrogance more than actual results. Advertising hype more than actual benefit to the region. I have personally worked very hard to achieve a silver LEED project for a university and all we could obtain was a lesser “certified” status.

LEED is becoming very unpopular in the private sector (where building owners must use their own money) due to the massive added costs, in construction and added fees for the years of paperwork required, to achieve the ratings. Government sees the movement in rose-colored glasses because it provides the desired control over the citizens and it doesn’t cost them a dime, after all, it is tax-payer money, governments have no money of their own.

How many know that the American Bar Association has within its structure a group called the Section of Environment, Energy and Resources (SEER)? One would expect that with the massive increase of energy and building code regulations, that lawyers would need a group to help the American Bar Association stay up to date with the increases in litigation. However, it doesn’t stop there. SEER has also been active in the World Justice Project. This project group is a multidisciplinary organization to advance the “rule of law” worldwide. The World Justice Project has now developed an International Rule of Law Index, where the USA ranks 18<sup>th</sup> as of 2017. The SEER representatives are now pushing for an Environmental Rule of Law Index, where Seth Davis (ABA Section Chair) moderated a panel on this new index structure, where Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg opened the plenary session.

Where to do you suppose this is all going? A one world government maybe? It is easier to control the world

population if the governments all become one. Easier for Satan as well.

These liberal activists will not listen to, not buy into, the real facts in the climate/world debates because it doesn't suit their purpose. Thomas Malthus, an English cleric and scholar, influential in the fields of political economy and demography, wrote in 1798 that there would be mass starvation throughout the world. We did see Russian dictators starving their own people by the millions, and there were a few famines around, but the Malthus prediction did not come to pass.

Paul Ehrlich wrote in 1968 that 100 to 200 million would starve to death by 1978. This, of course, did not happen. It has been published that England would not exist by the year 2000; no comment needed on that prediction. The hoax has led to billions of wasted dollars in the "getting ready for starving people", all the while real starving people were dying daily.

What will we say to God on judgement day? (re-read the Matthew 25 text above)

The hoax also led to China's one-child policy and the sterilization of hundreds of thousands of women in countries around the world. As mentioned above, it is governments that starve their own citizens, such as Joseph Stalin's Soviet Union in the 1920's where he took over farms, shot millions of farmers, and the forced collectivization of the farm land which led to widespread famine which killed millions. In International Wildlife magazine in 1975, Nigel Calder warned of a coming new ice age. Science News in 1975 went along with this prediction as C.C. Wallen of the World Meteorological Organization reported that the cooling since 1940 would not soon be reversed. These false inflammatory scare predictions then shifted to warming where in 2000 David Viner is reported as telling the British newspaper "The Independent" that within a few years kids would not know what snow is. The predictions also included animal extinctions where the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution is quoted by a Wisconsin Senator as saying 75 to 80 percent of all the species of living animals will be extinct.

Why all these and many more doomsday predictions? What is their point? What are they trying to do? It is not the

transferring of truth to the citizens of the world, so they must be trying to scare the people. Why? Only one answer: to control the people.

We have all heard about the so-called consensus supporting the purported “Man-made Global Warming Catastrophe” and the fear of a worldwide crisis. Those supporting this idea say there is scientific consensus in hopes that no one will look deeper into their irrational platform. We have heard that there are 327 scientists that agree, but have you also heard that there are at least 500 scientists that say that any climate change is NOT man-made? No, probably not (unless you look deep) because a superficial media is onboard promoting the hoax...bad news always gets people to tune in and support their advertisers. Historically, the climate does in fact go up and down about every 25 years, and it is currently cooler than temperatures we have had in the past. This is normal and part of solar cycles...it's a normal God-created thing.

December 14, 2009, Bruce Bialosky wrote an article, entitled: “*Global Warming: They Will Never Be Convinced*”. As the global warming supporters claim scientific consensus, the Bialosky blockbuster article read:

*“The release of some 3,000 emails hacked from the Climate Research Unit at East Anglia University illuminates the true intent of those in the forefront of one of the most complex scientific and political issues of our times: “Global Warming” (or, if you prefer, “Climate Change”). Never before have a small number of academic elites convinced the global political and media establishment to embrace such a large-scale alteration of our existence. Certainly, nobody has ever been brazen enough to attempt this without the fundamental scientific pillars of transparency, informed debate, and consensus.”*

Nobel Prize winner Al Gore has made millions of dollars spouting his insane rhetoric in speeches and movies. Though he has been proven to have provided false information and made up facts and images in his film, *Inconvenient Truth*; he still believes the sea levels will rise 20 feet; crying about ice melt, he ignores the fact that when one

pole has some ice melt the other pole has ice buildup. Yet, millions still believe...why? Do they wish for some dooms-day scenario when none exists? The real inconvenient truth is, they want power, money and control over people.

We are dealing with a materialistic science whereby mankind believes he has the power to overcome environmental problems; science then becomes “the savior” of the world. Who needs an unseen God to be savior when we have the visible actions of the “savior science”, this then makes mankind to be God. There is also another benefit for those promoting materialism, relativism, pantheism and pluralism...they can get rid of religion...specifically, Christianity.

Religion hater, Sam Harris, tells us in his blast at religion, entitled “*Science must Destroy Religion*” published in the left-wing Huffington Post:

*“The conflict between religion and science is inherent and (very nearly) zero-sum. The success of science often comes at the expense of religious dogma; the maintenance of religious dogma always comes at the expense of science.”*

Harris continues his blasting,

*“Iron Age beliefs — about God, the soul, sin, free will, etc. — continue to impede medical research and distort public policy. The possibility that we could elect a U.S. President who takes biblical prophecy seriously is real and terrifying; ...most scientists are keeping silent when they should be blasting the hideous fantasies of a prior age with all the facts at their disposal.”*

This is not true! First, science has been “learning” at each step of its development; this is a good thing, it is growing year by year. Science, however, has NOT stood the test of time, to its credit, it has certainly changed over time. Christianity on the other hand has not changed; it is an absolute truth. Absolutes do not change. This does not make religion and science incompatible however; at one time they were “birds of a feather”. My first book, “*I Am, the Great*

**Creator God"**, is all out Christians seeing God's Creation in science, and the reverse, scientists seeing God in the Creation.

Sadly, liberal activists want more and larger government, yet I believe the government is a lot of the problem and waste; but also, there are vast numbers of citizens that simply buy these emotional arguments as being fact, lacking common sense to think things through. While I write this portion of this book, I feel like I am standing at the base of a monumental dike about to burst as I hold a small pail ready to catch the water, but I just can't keep silent on an environmental issue that I have based my whole 46 year career trying to deal with by using smart passive measures and good organic design philosophies.

These dooms-day people are serious and those with balance and common sense are losing the battle. Battle? Yes, Townhall published on August 18, 2016 that the crazy climate activist group, 350.org's founder, Bill McKibben quoted as writing in New Republic magazine, *"World War III is well and truly underway"*.

Vijay Jayaraj's article, entitled *"Seven Victims of the Climate Witch Hunt"*, published in Townhall on June 11, 2018, notes the firing of scientists for having an opinion. Isn't science supposed to be about the honest investigation of issues and events, looking for truth and adjusting to the truth being revealed, going to where it leads? How can scientists, universities, and media believing one way, outwardly try to eliminate a person having a different opinion? Sounds a lot like Marxism to me; hardly a free honest investigation!

Vijay Jayaraj is a research associate for Developing Countries for the Cornwall Alliance for the Stewardship of Creation, presents in his article the names and article links supporting the "witch hunt" that is going on around the world. He notes the seven very prominent scientists names, fired for having a different opinion [Google and find out for yourself]: Peter Ridd, Roger A. Pielke, Jr., Ross McKittrick, John Christy, Fred Singer, Judith Curry and David Legates. There are, of course, many more individuals that are currently being abused for quoting the real facts that go against the emotional hoax.

Of course there is always hope for clear thinking and people coming to their senses. Greenpeace founder and ecologist, Patrick Moore, is one example as he has discussed the fallacy of human-caused climate change and why he parted ways with Greenpeace, 15 years after he started the environmental organization. When he started Greenpeace, they had a strong humanitarian orientation, but 15 years later, the organization referred to human beings as “enemies of nature”.

In high school I learned that green growing plants and trees loved carbon dioxide and gave off oxygen and water vapor. I learned that I breathe in oxygen (and the other gasses in the atmosphere) and breathe out carbon dioxide. I also learned that all living things are made of carbon. Carbon dioxide is a good thing, yet activists push for “carbon neutrality”. May 18, 2018, Gregory Wrightstone’s article published at Townhall noted a current carbon dioxide level of 410 parts per million. This is getting dangerously low; it has been said that 150 parts per million is the “line of death” for all plant life. Misinformed attempts to “save the planet” could actually be “dooming the planet” to a slow death. Plants thrive in carbon dioxide and give off moisture and oxygen, both we need to strive. A healthy level of carbon dioxide provides much higher biomass and the greening of the earth, both are needed for life to exist. NASA says our earth is greening; it is not turning to deserts as some fearmongering activists, such as Hillary Clinton, have purported. We need good crops to feed the earth’s population; we need a proper balance of carbon dioxide.

I pray you to drop your preconceived notions and see that our Creator has created a balanced system. We have no business in trying (if we could) to alter His system.

Throughout all of recorded history we have the record of temperature changes, moisture changes, and atmospheric changes. This is the natural cyclical ebb and flow of earth’s created systems. In the macro-picture, there is a balance to this movement. In the micro-picture we mere small human beings simply don’t know what is going on. This creates either hysteria for those with no faith, or trust in those with faith. As liberals have removed God and inserted the sole-purpose and importance of self, with that hysteria has increased. Arrogance has increased. The faulty belief that

mere human beings can do anything to impact the earth's climate is simply insane thinking.

The earth has seen ice ages come and go. Draught come and go. Percent of forestation coverage rise and fall. Atmospheric cleanliness also rise and fall. The God created atmosphere cleans itself; we only need to look at the massive amount of volcanic action polluting the earth's atmosphere in its early development, many times more than the Mount St. Helen's eruption, and yet we have clean air again, a cycle repeated thousands of times that even cleaned up the pollution of the Industrial Age. Yet we have liberals concerned about methane from cows, the refueling of cars, and lawn mowers; again, and repeating, it is not about the environment. It is about control over people.

I think those embracing the climate-change hoax are very smart in that they know full well that history is not being taught well in our schools. This lack of historical understanding allows people to ignore previous historical facts and to create their own position sans any truth basis in order to present their own made-up truth. Couple this illusion with an attitude of relativism with no absolute truth, and you can be like Al Gore, free to make up whatever you want and make millions of dollars doing it. Vijay Jayaraj, Research Associate for Developing Countries for the Cornwall Alliance for the Stewardship of Creation wrote a strong historical fact-filled article published August 7, 2018 entitled, "*Climate Change and Disappearing Islands – Let's Return to Reality*". In this article, to move away from emotional political environmental "feelings", he embraces the historical record:

*"...Before we address the role of humans in sea-level increase, it is important to examine, from a geological and historical perspective, what exactly is happening to sea levels.*

*Sea levels have changed constantly throughout geologic history.*

*They began rising at the onset of the Holocene period 12,000 years ago. The Holocene is a warm period within a longer, cooler Interglacial period. It was preceded by a colder period and will likely be followed by another."*

*“...The popular claim that islands are being wiped out by climate change-driven sea-level rise should have been put to rest when it was found out that the islands were not disappearing but increasing in size. Studies showed that the Pacific islands like Tuvalu grew (73 hectares in 40 years) instead of shrinking. How can this be, with global sea level rising?”*

*“...During the Medieval Warm Period (the 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> centuries), global sea level was 170 centimeters higher than today's, yet life flourished across the globe.”*

*“...The climate empire runs its business by selling lies and manipulative data to the public. Dangerous, manmade sea-level rise is just one of its many tricks. Neither the public, nor policymakers should be frightened into embracing climate and energy policies whose costs far exceed their benefits.”*

Can we pollute and ruin a water system? Of course we can; we only need to look to the Citarum River in West Java, Indonesia; but throughout history, most rivers cycle through times of pollution and times of cleanliness. The oceans of the earth absorb heat to balance the atmosphere's heating. Carbon dioxide is also balanced by our oceans. The Gulf of Mexico cleaned a great percentage of the 2010 BP oil spill using its own God created processes. Does this mean we should be reckless, of course not! No one with the slightest care of life would agree to be reckless with how we treat our planet; certainly, no Christian understanding God's direction in the Book of Genesis would behave recklessly. We are charged to be good stewards of the earth and its resources; this is God's demand.

As I have been trying to present in this text, climate-change thinking is only a political movement searching for power and control; it has absolutely nothing to do with the environment...because the environment cannot be controlled by mankind! Only the Creator of the earth, it's environmental systems, and the universe's solar and lunar relationships can control the environment. Man's attempt at controlling climate is mankind trying to be God. It is today's

Tower of Babel. It is not God's demand that governments enslave its citizens by mandating regulations on how we are to live our lives. Furthermore, the billions and billions of dollars wasted on this sinful activity of perpetuating a hoax directly hurts an already hurting world. What is the "hurting world"? Those innocent citizens of earth that are hungry, thirsty, naked, sick, and wrongly imprisoned (Matthew 25:34-40); these should be our focus today!

## **Volcanos**

In the Philippines, we have Mount Mayon is erupting as the year turns from 2017 to 2018, throwing lava, toxic gas and thick ash 10 kilometers high into the air. It is a symmetrical "stratovolcano" with the classic cone and crater. Forty thousand residents had fled their homes in January 2018, as the government raised the likely hood of a major eruption. The nation has 22 active volcanos, with Mount Mayon being the most active with 51 eruptions in the last 500 years, with the deadliest being in 1814, killing 2,200 people. [Trevor Nace, geologist, January 22, 2018 article published in Forbes]

In Ecuador another troublemaker stratovolcano in the Amazonian Andes is called "Reventador" (Spanish for troublemaker). It is also providing spectacular eruptions of fiery explosions.

Back to the "Ring of Fire", the photograph below is the Mount Sinabung on the island Sumatra in Indonesia as it erupted in February 2018. Mount Sinabung, dormant for 400 years, erupted and killed people in 2010, 2014, and 2016; now we have this 2018 largest recorded erupted.



AP photograph

According Erik Klemetti's comments published in "Discover" magazine:

*"Even with the relative size of the eruption, there in no chance this causes any climate impact. The initial estimates of sulfur released are too low to cause much atmosphere effects and the height of the plume wasn't tall enough to reach the stratosphere. Current estimates of the ash plume are between 5-7 kilometers, so between 16,000-20,000 feet (down from the initial guesses of over 50,000 feet)." [underline added]*

My point, as massive as this one volcano eruption is, being one of many thousands of eruptions around the world, scientists say this has no chance of any climate impact. God's created "climate machine" cleans itself of these God-created natural volcanic events; so how does my lawn mower or filling my car with gasoline have any impact in damaging the ozone layer, a stratospheric layer some 20,000-30,000 kilometers above the earth?

The Smithsonian Institution has a Global Volcanism Program to provide information and watch worldwide volcano activity and eruptions. Their February 26, 2018 article listed 12 active volcanos, all erupting in 2017. Their data base goes

back 10,000 years [they say, don't ask me how they know that]. The program has been monitoring volcanic sulfur dioxide gas emissions since 1978.

Author Henry Fountain's article, published in The New York Times on February 1, 2018, is titled "*The Next Big Volcano Could Briefly Cool Earth. NASA Wants to Be Ready*". Ready to do what? Is NASA big enough to reverse any global impacts? Surely they are going to need a lot more of our hard-earned tax dollars to do that global-sized project!

The article further notes the volcano called "Pinatubo" in the Philippines threw 20 million tons of sulfur dioxide gas into the atmosphere that spread around the world and along with water vapor (forming reflective aerosols), dropped the earth's temperature one-degree Fahrenheit. The article states, "So NASA researchers and other scientists are planning a rapid-response program to study the next big one."



Mount Pinatubo  
1991, courtesy of  
Yale University Press

The science wanting to gain insights in the climate impact of volcanos is sometimes called geoengineering and the work is known as "solar radiation management". Whether this is true or not, the fact that someone even named this effort as they did, shows how insane the effort of actually managing solar radiation when the world has so many other needs

today. The article notes that “A huge eruption could also temporarily damage the ozone layer...”

This is to my point, God’s creation takes care of itself by natural God created means. The idea that mankind would even think he could manage global atmosphere by some rapid response to a disturbed climate temporarily impacted by volcanic activity is to put them on a par as powerful as God Himself.

To be fair, the article states that the idea of geoengineering has an “outlaw image” and that NASA officials play down the benefits. Of course, they would rather try to cut greenhouse gas emissions; another worthless endeavor as anyone who has studied the “Industrial Age” would have to conclude. As the article continues,

*“...limited direct research into geoengineering concepts to better understand their potential as well as risks and be better prepared should global warming [which there is none] reach a point where some kind of emergency action were deemed necessary.” [bracketed text added] Again, to even think mankind could evoke an emergency action plan to halt, modify or reverse a worldwide atmospheric event is to “play God”.*

### **The Sin of "Man-Made Climate Change" Thinking - Setting the Stage**

Karl Barth said, “*Knowledge is real solely through God’s revelation.*” When you think about the meaning of God the Creator, and the story of Creation, as told in the Bible, one must first believe in God to understand and believe what has been written. This is no shallow truth we are talking about; how many “truths” do you know about that have withstood numerous tests over 3000 years? Let’s first look at how we believe in what we each call our own “truth”.

We first “decide to believe” in something, then we setup arguments to support our decisions, so our beliefs then become our truths. The atheist first decides that God does not exist, and then sets his arguments to backup that “fact”

(as he sees it), all the while ignoring the “messy” physical truths all around him that easily prove that God does in fact exist. I never understood why an atheist hates God, if God doesn’t exist. The person that doesn’t believe in God yet believes in the devil as the supreme power in the Universe, builds his own arguments to fit his beliefs. Admitting the devil was thrown out of heaven and rules over the Earth, they ignore the fact that even a higher power threw the devil out of heaven in the first place thus having the greater power.

The Creator’s work (the creation) can be understood when you first get some knowledge of the Creator. We see this when we view art and architecture; a better understanding is realized after you first get an understanding of the artist or architect. A great portion of the book, ***I Am, the Great Creator God***, is dedicated toward showing you the reality of God’s Creation. The whole Universe was created by this loving God, and that the Universe did not come into being because some particles of space dust decided to colligate into a planet on their own. To believe some physical law just happened to be there at the right time to do its magic, working by happenstance, is ridiculous. Why would a star, all by itself, decide to blow up to create more debris to make more objects in the Universe? It just does not work that way; there is a Creator’s hand involved.

Karl Barth said:

*“It is assuredly a basic error to speak of creation myths. At best a myth may be a parallel to exact science; that is, a myth has to do with viewing what has always existed and will exist. A myth has to do with the mighty problem that at all times propounds itself to man and therefore is timeless, the problem of life and death, of sleep and wakening, of birth and dying, of morning and evening, of day and night, and so on. These are the themes of myth. Myth considers the world as it were from its frontier, but always the world which already exists. There is no creation myth because creation as such is simply not accessible to myth. The Bible speaks in Genesis 1 and 2 of events which lie outside of our historical knowledge. But it speaks upon the basis of*

*knowledge, which is related to history. In fact, the wonderful thing about the biblical creation narratives is that they stand in strict connection with the history of Israel and so with the story of God's action in the covenant with man. Knowledge of creation IS knowledge of God and consequently knowledge of faith in the deepest and ultimate sense." (underline added) (Dogmatics in Outline, p. 51)<sup>1</sup>*

In summary, when viewed carefully, one cannot gaze on a Wood Duck, a snowflake, a Peacock's tail, an Atlantic Sundial or a Chambered Nautilus shell, or the human body machine and not see a most unbelievable design. Couple what you physically see with the three Laws of Thermodynamics, and you simply must come to the conclusion that all that is...is God created. It is not happenstance. It is not by some evolutionary engine that things simply "become". God is a wonderfully creative creator; and we are each an individual creation, intimately created out of love as an individual "work of art".

### **The Sin of "Man-Made Climate Change" Thinking - YOU are NOT God!**

Above I mentioned facts about how we are indeed "works of art"...we are God's "poem"...the best words in the best order is a poem, that is what we are. Because we are loved so much by God, to be so wonderfully created (Ps. 139:14), that we have also been given a gift of choice to accept or reject the God that created us. There is actually a great deal of love in this gift of choice, for what lover would put his beloved in chains, to be a puppet, to control every action and thought? There are no strings on you! You are not in prison chains...you can decide what to believe, right, wrong or otherwise.

So, you are free to reject God even though the blood of Jesus Christ redeemed you (paid the price for your sins), you can reject His divinity, and His being sovereign over all. You are free to become your own god. Become your own god? Yes, that is exactly what you are doing when you believe you can control the natural forces on Earth...that

YOU believe YOU can affect the whole planet in some manner. How arrogant of you to believe such nonsense! For to have such a belief of global impact is to place yourself above all nature...to make yourself as powerful as God. If you are having trouble with this line of thinking, it shall become clear in the next part.

Everyone wants to believe they are important, that they have value and can contribute something to the "Play of Life on Planet Earth". As Robin Williams said to the boys in "Dead Poet's Society", what will your one verse be? I am no different. That is why I write articles and books, I care. I don't advertise. I don't make any money. I care to reach out to others as a teacher because I feel called to do so. As you can with God, you are free to reject what I have say, but I only ask one thing of you. Put aside your pre-conceived notions and at least ponder briefly on the truth I wish to share with you. Only for this one reason - one day you will be asked to give an account of your life...what will you say?

Will you confess to following a lie at the expense of human lives? Will you arrogantly say that I have so much effect on the world that I could melt global ice caps? In fact, I am so important I can actually make one ice cap shrink and make the other one grow! Wow! If true, I could only say...You must be God to do that!

### **The Actual Sin - It Is About Control Over People!**

Despite all the decades of so-called scientific alarmists spouting the on-coming dangers if we don't change our ways, nothing has ever come to pass...no raising oceans, no ice ages. The broadcasts of global warming circled the planet and blamed everything on the warming...problem was...there was never any warming. The "science" was only computer modeling, and as we all know "garbage in – garbage out". When the false science was exposed, the broadcasts of doom changed to use the term "climate change". The problem now was that this term didn't blame mankind to whom the instigators wanted to place the blame. When you blame someone for an action, then you can control that person...this is the true goal of the progressives and liberals, to control the masses.

The term was changed to “man-made climate change.” The agenda is to dumb-down the population, remove common sense, and make all things relative, and now you have a setting whereby lazy people will accept stupid ideas. Man-made climate change reeks of absolutely zero common sense; anyone with half a brain should be able to reason through this to realize it to be completely without any merit whatsoever!

I only need one example, though there have been hundreds since we have been recording history. The Native Americans called it “Smoking Mountain”; we call it Mt. Saint Helens. There was a major eruption in 1800, and minor eruptions in 1898, 1903, and 1932; but through all this the mountain was a beautiful scenic peaceful area; that is until 1980. A huge eruption, like many thousands before since the world was formed, the mountain blew the top 1300 vertical feet off the summit. The direct blast covered 230 square miles and blew 540 million tons of ash 12 miles into the air (not the largest eruption as I have noted previously, but still huge). How can that be equaled by all the industry on the planet, all the cow farts on the planet, all the lawn mowers and cars on the planet? Today...Mt. Saint Helens has recovered as it always has...on its own...because all of nature is created by God, and God said it was good. All of mankind on the planet together does not have a power to equal the blast of the 1980 Mt. Saint Helens eruption to instill global climate change. The belief that mankind is that powerful is to make him like God.

The environmental extremists have re-created a gnostic form of religion. The environmental movement, now its own religion, is one whose roots are firmly planted in ancient gnosis that set the Creator-God as being evil and at best incompetent. By removing God from being Creator of all creation, one could now focus on knowledge as being the saving act. You see, if you believe the world is evil then it was the result of being formed by an evil God; for the Gnostic it was material things that were evil, but it is their personal knowledge that would be the saving power. The environmental scientists don't need facts anymore, all they need is their knowledge to computer model the future of the planet as THEY deem it should be.

Philip Lee writes in "Against the Protestant Gnostics"<sup>7</sup>, on page 16:

*"The fundamental problem between biblical faith and gnostic faith begins with two different world views. Biblical faith insists that the Creation is well made. Christianity affirms with Judaism that 'in the beginning God created the heavens and the earth...God saw everything that He had made, and behold, it was very good.'"*

Continuing, Lee writes, "...Gnosticism simply cannot endorse that positive vision of the Creation." This is why liberals fight against any idea of God Creation, and so grossly endorse evolution as the prime mover. Lee continues saying, "The basic issue is clear: Gnosticism must deny any direct link between the Creation and God."

Here is where the environmental religion becomes the evil force; instead of preaching that we should all be good stewards of God's creation (something I firmly endorse and practice), the environmental extremists first take God out of the picture with the false doctrine of evolution, then they empower themselves in believing they can manipulate God's creation thus making themselves equal to God. It is pantheistic at best!

May God help us to reverse our present course and turn us around to embrace our maker...the God of the Universe who loved us so much that He sent His only Son to show us the real truth.

Amen,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Haven D. Mankin". The script is cursive and fluid, with the first name "Haven" being the most prominent part of the signature.